Further high-precision comparisons between perturbation calculations and PN theory for eccentric inspirals

Erik Forseth ¹ Charles R. Evans ² and Seth Hopper³

¹Graham Capital Management

²Department of Physics, University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill

³CENTRA, Department of Physics, IST, Lisbon

30 June, 2016

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Aim: Use perturbation theory to push PN knowledge

- Work at 1st-order in mass ratio μ/M Calculate fluxes and/or gravitational self-force
- By choice focus on wide orbits $r \gg M$ \rightarrow overlap with PN theory Pluck off new higher-order PN terms \rightarrow 3.5PN, 4PN,..., 7PN



• As Barry mentioned-thriving industry! (partial list)

Poisson (1993); Poisson and Sasaki (1995); various by Sasaki, Tagoshi, Tanaka, Shibata, Takasugi, Mano (mid-1990s); Detweiler (2008); Blanchet, Detweiler, Le Tiec, and Whiting (2010,2011); Fujita (2012); Bini and Damour (2013, 2014, etc); Shah, Friedman, and Whiting (2014); Shah (2014); Fujita (2014); Johnson-McDaniel, Shah, and Whiting (2015); Sago and Fujita (2015); Kavanagh, Ottewill, and Wardell (2015a,b); Forseth, CRE, Hopper (2016)

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Calculational method in a nutshell

• Analytic function expansions for $R^{\pm}_{lm\omega}$ using MST formalism (here a = 0)

$$\left[r^{2}f\frac{d^{2}}{dr^{2}} - 2(r-M)\frac{d}{dr} + U_{l\omega}(r)\right]R_{lm\omega}^{\pm}(r) = 0,$$

• Convert $R_{lm\omega}^{\pm}$ to RWZ functions $X_{lm\omega}^{\pm}$

- Specify orbit (p, e); solve source problem with SSI PhysRev D92, 044048
- Find TD solution via EHS (if want self-force)

$$\Psi_{lm}^{\pm}(t,r) = \sum_{n} C_{lmn}^{\pm} \hat{X}_{lmn}^{\pm} e^{-i\omega_{mn}t}$$

• MST \rightarrow RWZ \rightarrow $p, e \rightarrow$ SSI \rightarrow (EHS) \rightarrow Mathematica \rightarrow Fluxes $|C_{lmn}^{\pm}|^2$

PhysRev D93, 064058

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Why very high precision calculations?

- Calculate at large radii: $r \sim 10^{20} M$ \rightarrow MST converges rapidly Dial-in your own 'fine-structure' constant \rightarrow $u = (M\Omega)^{2/3} = 10^{-20}$
- → y = (MΩ)^{2/3} = 10⁻²⁰
 Computed results at 200+ digits contain many PN orders
 Use PSLQ to pluck off exact coefficients



• Example: Analytically-known circular-orbit redshift invariant (Shah, Friedman, and Whiting 2014)

 $\Delta U = \frac{-1}{r} + \frac{-2}{r^2} + \frac{-5}{r^3} + \frac{-3872 + 123\pi^2}{96r^4} + \frac{-592384 - 196608\gamma_E + 10155\pi^2 - 393216\ln(2)}{7680r^5}$

Numerical result at $r = 10^{10} M \longrightarrow$ Read off the terms

Reminder: Eccentricity dependent PN energy flux

Flux at infinity depends on e_t and $y=(\omega M)^{2/3}\ll 1$

 \boldsymbol{e}_t is PN "time eccentricity"

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^2 y^5 \left[\mathcal{I}_0(e_t) + y \,\mathcal{I}_1(e_t) + y^{3/2} \,\mathcal{K}_{3/2}(e_t) + y^2 \,\mathcal{I}_2(e_t) \right. \\ \left. + y^{5/2} \,\mathcal{K}_{5/2}(e_t) + y^3 \,\mathcal{I}_3(e_t) + y^3 \log y \,\mathcal{I}_{3L}(e_t) + y^3 \,\mathcal{K}_3(e_t) \right. \\ \left. + y^{7/2} \,\mathcal{L}_{7/2}(e_t) + y^4 \,\mathcal{L}_4(e_t) + y^4 \log y \,\mathcal{L}_{4L}(e_t) + \cdots \right]$$

Enhancement functions: $\mathcal{I}_n(e_t)$ are instantaneous; $\mathcal{K}_n(e_t)$ are hereditary

$$\mathcal{I}_0(e_t) = \frac{1}{(1 - e_t^2)^{7/2}} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24} e_t^2 + \frac{37}{96} e_t^4 \right)$$
 Peters-Mathews (1963)

$$\mathcal{I}_1(e_t) = \frac{1}{(1-e_t^2)^{9/2}} \left(-\frac{1247}{336} + \frac{10475}{672} e_t^2 + \frac{10043}{384} e_t^4 + \frac{2179}{1792} e_t^6 \right)$$

See Arun et al. 2008a,b; 2009; Blanchet 2014 (LRR)

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Reminder: Eccentricity dependent PN energy flux

Flux at infinity depends on e_t and $y=(\omega M)^{2/3}\ll 1$

 e_t is PN "time eccentricity"

$$\left\langle \frac{dE}{dt} \right\rangle = \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^2 y^5 \left[\mathcal{I}_0(e_t) + y \,\mathcal{I}_1(e_t) + y^{3/2} \,\mathcal{K}_{3/2}(e_t) + y^2 \,\mathcal{I}_2(e_t) \right. \\ \left. + y^{5/2} \,\mathcal{K}_{5/2}(e_t) + y^3 \,\mathcal{I}_3(e_t) + y^3 \log y \,\mathcal{I}_{3L}(e_t) + y^3 \,\mathcal{K}_3(e_t) \right. \\ \left. + y^{7/2} \,\mathcal{L}_{7/2}(e_t) + y^4 \,\mathcal{L}_4(e_t) + y^4 \log y \,\mathcal{L}_{4L}(e_t) + \cdots \right]$$

Enhancement functions: $\mathcal{I}_n(e_t)$ are instantaneous; $\mathcal{K}_n(e_t)$ are hereditary

$$\mathcal{I}_{0}(e_{t}) = \frac{1}{(1 - e_{t}^{2})^{7/2}} \left(1 + \frac{73}{24} e_{t}^{2} + \frac{37}{96} e_{t}^{4} \right)$$
 Peters-Mathews (1963)
$$\mathcal{I}_{0}(e_{t}) = \frac{1}{(1 - e_{t}^{2})^{7/2}} \left(1247 + \frac{10475}{24} e_{t}^{2} + \frac{10043}{24} e_{t}^{4} + \frac{2179}{6} e_{t}^{6} \right)$$

$$21(0_t) \quad (1 - e_t^2)^{9/2} \left(336 + 672 + 384 + 1792^{5t} \right)$$

See Arun et al. 2008a,b; 2009; Blanchet 2014 (LRR)

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Hereditary terms: New high-order expansions

- We had to find expansions for $\mathcal{K}_{3/2}$ (tail), $\mathcal{K}_{5/2}$ (1PN correction to tail), and \mathcal{K}_3 (tail-of-tail and tail²) to arbitrary order in e_t
- Alternative quantities (see Blanchet LRR 2014): $\varphi,\,\psi,\,\chi$
- For example, the 1.5PN tail has enhancement function

$$\begin{split} \varphi(e_t) &= \frac{1}{(1-e_t^2)^5} \left(1 + \frac{1375}{192} e_t^2 + \frac{3935}{768} e_t^4 + \frac{10007}{36864} e_t^6 + \frac{2321}{884736} e_t^8 + \frac{237857}{353894400} e_t^{10} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{182863}{4246732800} e_t^{12} + \frac{4987211}{6658877030400} e_t^{14} - \frac{47839147}{35514010828800} e_t^{16} + \cdots \right) \end{split}$$

- Determined to order e_t^{200}
- Identified eccentricity singular factor–results in rapidly converging series with finite limit at $e_t\to 1$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Asymptotic analysis of enhancement functions

• Used asymptotic analysis to "prove" our assumed eccentricity singular factors for $\varphi(e_t)$, $\chi(e_t)$, as well as $\mathcal{I}_0(e_t)$ and $F(e_t)$

$$\begin{split} g(n,e_t) &= \frac{1}{6}n^2 \frac{1+x+x^2+3n^2x^3}{(1-x)^2} J_n(ne_t)^2 + \frac{1}{2}n^2 \frac{x(1+n^2x)}{1-x} J'_n(ne_t)^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}n^3 \frac{x(1+3x)}{(1-x)^{3/2}} J_n(ne_t) J'_n(ne_t) \end{split}$$

where $x = 1 - e_t^2$. Use transition-region asymptotic expansion for Bessel functions

$$J_n(ne_t) \sim \left(\frac{4\zeta}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left[n^{-1/3} \operatorname{Ai}(n^{2/3}\zeta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_k}{n^{2k}} + n^{-5/3} \operatorname{Ai}'(n^{2/3}\zeta) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{n^{2k}} \right]$$

and asymptotic expansion of Airy functions

$$\operatorname{Ai}(n^{2/3}\zeta) \sim \frac{e^{-\xi}}{2^{5/6}3^{1/6}\sqrt{\pi}\xi^{1/6}} \left(1 - \frac{5}{72\xi} + \frac{385}{10368\xi^2} - \frac{85085}{2239488\xi^3} + \frac{37182145}{644972544\xi^4} + \cdots\right)$$

• Approximate infinite sums over *n* with integrals and read off singular factors and (fairly) sharp estimates of proportionality constants

2.5PN hereditary term

• Second case and most difficult to calculate: 2.5PN tail enhancement function

$$\begin{split} \psi(e_t) &= \frac{1}{\left(1 - e_t^2\right)^6} \left(1 - \frac{72134}{8191} e_t^2 - \frac{19817891}{524224} e_t^4 - \frac{62900483}{4718016} e_t^6 - \frac{184577393}{603906048} e_t^8 \right. \\ &+ \frac{1052581}{419379200} e_t^{10} - \frac{686351417}{1159499612160} e_t^{12} + \frac{106760742311}{852232214937600} e_t^{14} + \cdots \right) \end{split}$$

- Determined to order e_t^{70}
- Identified different eccentricity singular factor–remaining series has finite limit at $e_t \to 1$

3PN hereditary term

• Third case has most interesting structure: 3PN hereditary enhancement function

$$\begin{split} \chi(e_t) &= -\frac{3}{2} \frac{\log(1-e_t^2)}{(1-e_t^2)^{13/2}} \left(1 + \frac{85}{6} e_t^2 + \frac{5171}{192} e_t^4 + \frac{1751}{192} e_t^6 + \frac{297}{1024} e_t^8 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(1-e_t^2)^{13/2}} \left\{ \left[-\frac{3}{2} - \frac{77}{3} \log(2) + \frac{6561}{256} \log(3) \right] e_t^2 + \left[-22 + \frac{34855}{64} \log(2) - \frac{295245}{1024} \log(3) \right] e_t^4 \right. \\ &+ \left[-\frac{6595}{128} - \frac{1167467}{192} \log(2) + \frac{24247269}{16384} \log(3) + \frac{244140625}{147456} \log(5) \right] e_t^6 + \cdots \right\} \end{split}$$

- Asymptotic analysis identified closed-form Log singular term
- Second term is also singular and just sub-dominant
- Remaining series is rapidly convergent
- Aside: Points to use of (e, p^{-1}) instead of (e, y)

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Confirming 3PN: Fluxes $\dot{E}_{\ell mn}^{\infty}$ from one orbital model

Orbit: $y = 10^{-20}$, e = 0.1, accuracy: 200 digits, total modes > 7000



E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Confirming 3PN: Normalize fluxes to Peters-Mathews



Confirming 3PN: Subtract $\mathcal{I}_0(e)$ from $\ell = 2$ flux



Confirming 3PN: And subtract 1PN from $\ell \leq 3$ flux



Confirming 3PN: And subtract 1.5PN from $\ell \leq 3$ flux



E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Confirming 3PN: And subtract 2PN from $\ell \leq 4$ flux



Confirming 3PN: And subtract 2.5PN from $\ell \leq 4$ flux



Confirming 3PN: And subtract 3PN from $\ell \leq 5$ flux



$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M} \right)^2 y^5 \bigg[\mathcal{I}_0 + y \mathcal{I}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{I}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{K}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{I}_3 + y^3 \mathcal{K}_3 \\ &\quad + y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} + y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_4 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{9/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{9/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_5 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{5L} \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{11/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{11/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_6 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L^2} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{13/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{13/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_7 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L^2} \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Peters and Mathews, 1963

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M} \right)^2 y^5 \bigg[\mathcal{I}_0 + y \mathcal{I}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{I}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{K}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{I}_3 + y^3 \mathcal{K}_3 \\ &\quad + y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} + y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_4 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{9/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{9/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_5 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{5L} \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{11/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{11/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_6 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L^2} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{13/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{13/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_7 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L^2} \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Wagoner and Will, 1976

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^2 y^5 \bigg[\mathcal{I}_0 + y \mathcal{I}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{I}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{K}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{I}_3 + y^3 \mathcal{K}_3 \\ &\quad + y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} + y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_4 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{9/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{9/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_5 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{5L} \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{11/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{11/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_6 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L^2} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{13/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{13/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_7 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L^2} \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Blanchet and Schäfer; Wiseman; Poisson (1993)

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M} \right)^2 y^5 \bigg[\mathcal{I}_0 + y \mathcal{I}_1 + \frac{y^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_{3/2}}{\mathcal{K}_{3/2}} + y^2 \mathcal{I}_2 + \frac{y^{5/2} \mathcal{K}_{5/2}}{\mathcal{K}_{5/2}} + \frac{y^3 \mathcal{I}_3}{\mathcal{I}_3} + \frac{y^3 \mathcal{K}_3}{\mathcal{I}_3} \\ &+ \frac{y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2}}{\mathcal{L}_{7/2}} + \frac{y^4 \left(\mathcal{L}_4 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} \right)}{\mathcal{I}_4 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L}} + \frac{y^{9/2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{9/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{9/2L} \right)}{\mathcal{I}_{5L}} \\ &+ \frac{y^5 \left(\mathcal{L}_5 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{5L} \right)}{\mathcal{I}_{6L}} + \frac{y^{11/2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{11/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{11/2L} \right)}{\mathcal{I}_{6L^2}} \\ &+ \frac{y^{13/2} \left(\mathcal{L}_{13/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{13/2L} \right)}{\mathcal{I}_{7L}} + \frac{y^7 \left(\mathcal{L}_7 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L^2} \right)}{\mathcal{I}_{7L^2}} + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

 $\langle \dot{E}
angle = rac{32}{5} \left(rac{\mu}{M}
ight)^2 y^5 \left[\mathcal{I}_0 + y \mathcal{I}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{I}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{K}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{I}_3 + y^3 \mathcal{K}_3
ight]$ $+y^{7/2}\mathcal{L}_{7/2}+y^4\left(\mathcal{L}_4+\log(y)\mathcal{L}_{4L}\right)+y^{9/2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{9/2}+\log(y)\mathcal{L}_{9/2L}\right)$ $+y^{5}\left(\mathcal{L}_{5}+\log(y)\mathcal{L}_{5L}\right)+y^{11/2}\left(\mathcal{L}_{11/2}+\log(y)\mathcal{L}_{11/2L}\right)$ $+y^6 \left(\mathcal{L}_6 + \log(y)\mathcal{L}_{6L} + \log^2(y)\mathcal{L}_{6L^2}\right)$ $+y^{13/2} \Big(\mathcal{L}_{13/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{13/2L} \Big)$ $+y^7 \left(\mathcal{L}_7 + \log(y)\mathcal{L}_{7L} + \log^2(y)\mathcal{L}_{7L^2}\right) + \cdots \right]$

Gopakumar and Iyer, 1997

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Arun, et. al., 2008

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M} \right)^2 y^5 \bigg[\mathcal{I}_0 + y \mathcal{I}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{I}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{K}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{I}_3 + y^3 \mathcal{K}_3 \\ &\quad + y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} + y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_4 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{9/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{9/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_5 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{5L} \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{11/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{11/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_6 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L^2} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{13/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{13/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_7 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L^2} \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M} \right)^2 y^5 \bigg[\mathcal{I}_0 + y \mathcal{I}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{K}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{I}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{K}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{I}_3 + y^3 \mathcal{K}_3 \\ &\quad + y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} + y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_4 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{9/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{9/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_5 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{5L} \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{11/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{11/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_6 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{6L^2} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{L}_{13/2} + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{13/2L} \bigg) \\ &\quad + y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{L}_7 + \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L} + \log^2(y) \mathcal{L}_{7L^2} \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

Forseth, CRE, Hopper, PhysRev D93, 064058 (2016) (lowest order in mass ratio)

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

- Find exact rationals (and/or transcendentals) from very accurate numbers See e.g., Johnson-McDaniel, Shah, Whiting (2015)
- For 3.5PN, we expect the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{7/2} = -\frac{16285\pi}{504(1-e^2)^7} \left(1 + a_2e^2 + a_4e^4 + \cdots\right)$$

• Fit gives

 $a_2 = 13.75256306928666461979326578651110428819977484392590318$ 28881383686418994985160167843598422334113482417705119 883034494121793

• Integer relation algorithm (PSLQ; see FindIntegerNullVector) finds

 $a_2 = \frac{21500207}{1563360}$

to 108 digits

• Likelihood of coincidence $\sim 10^{-93}$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

- Find exact rationals (and/or transcendentals) from very accurate numbers See e.g., Johnson-McDaniel, Shah, Whiting (2015)
- For 3.5PN, we expect the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{7/2} = -\frac{16285\pi}{504(1-e^2)^7} \left(1 + a_2 e^2 + a_4 e^4 + \cdots\right)$$

• Fit gives

$a_2 = 13.75256306928666461979326578651110428819977484392590318$ 28881383686418994985160167843598422334113482417705119 883034494121793

• Integer relation algorithm (PSLQ; see FindIntegerNullVector) finds

 $a_2 = \frac{21500207}{1563360}$

to 108 digits

• Likelihood of coincidence $\sim 10^{-93}$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

- Find exact rationals (and/or transcendentals) from very accurate numbers See e.g., Johnson-McDaniel, Shah, Whiting (2015)
- For 3.5PN, we expect the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{7/2} = -\frac{16285\pi}{504(1-e^2)^7} \left(1 + a_2 e^2 + a_4 e^4 + \cdots\right)$$

• Fit gives

 $a_2 = 13.75256306928666461979326578651110428819977484392590318$ 28881383686418994985160167843598422334113482417705119 883034494121793

• Integer relation algorithm (PSLQ; see FindIntegerNullVector) finds

 $a_2 = \frac{21500207}{1563360}$

to 108 digits

• Likelihood of coincidence $\sim 10^{-93}$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

- Find exact rationals (and/or transcendentals) from very accurate numbers See e.g., Johnson-McDaniel, Shah, Whiting (2015)
- For 3.5PN, we expect the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{7/2} = -\frac{16285\pi}{504(1-e^2)^7} \left(1 + a_2 e^2 + a_4 e^4 + \cdots\right)$$

• Fit gives

 $a_2 = 13.75256306928666461979326578651110428819977484392590318$ 28881383686418994985160167843598422334113482417705119 883034494121793

• Integer relation algorithm (PSLQ; see FindIntegerNullVector) finds

 $a_2 = \frac{21500207}{1563360}$

to 108 digits

• Likelihood of coincidence $\sim 10^{-93}$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Example: 3.5PN exact to high order in e

• We find singular factor and exact coefficients to e^{24} :

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} &= -\frac{16285\pi}{504(1-e^2)^7} \bigg(1 + \frac{21500207}{1563360} e^2 + \frac{3345329}{1563360} e^4 - \frac{111594754909}{1350743040} e^6 \\ &\quad -\frac{82936785623}{1800990720} e^8 - \frac{11764982139179}{3457902182400} e^{10} \\ &\quad -\frac{216868426237103}{311211196416000} e^{12} - \frac{30182578123501193}{81329859330048000} e^{14} \\ &\quad -\frac{351410391437739607}{1561533299136921600} e^{16} - \frac{1006563319333377521717}{6745823852271501312000} e^{18} \\ &\quad -\frac{138433556497603036591}{1317543721146777600000} e^{20} - \frac{16836217054749609972406421}{673642131727360327680000} e^{22} \\ &\quad -\frac{2077866815397007172515220959}{1091306865539832373084160000} e^{24} + \cdots \bigg) \end{split}$$

... plus much higher orders in e^2 in decimal form

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Example: 4PN Log term has exact closed form

• Next consider 4PN Log term

$$\langle \dot{E} \rangle = \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^2 y^5 \left(\mathcal{I}_0 + \dots + y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} + y^4 \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} + \dots\right)$$

• The \mathcal{L}_{4L} enhancement function is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{4L} &= \frac{232597}{8820(1-e^2)^{15/2}} \left(1 + \frac{14770533}{465194} e^2 + \frac{142278179}{930388} e^4 + \frac{318425291}{1860776} e^6 \right. \\ &+ \frac{1256401651}{29772416} e^8 + \frac{64986219}{59544832} e^{10} \right) \end{aligned}$$

• Which is an exact, closed-form expression!

• Nice touchstone for current work on 4PN

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Example: 4PN Log term has exact closed form

• Next consider 4PN Log term

$$\langle \dot{E} \rangle = \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^2 y^5 \left(\mathcal{I}_0 + \dots + y^{7/2} \mathcal{L}_{7/2} + y^4 \log(y) \mathcal{L}_{4L} + \dots\right)$$

• The \mathcal{L}_{4L} enhancement function is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{4L} &= \frac{232597}{8820(1-e^2)^{15/2}} \left(1 + \frac{14770533}{465194} e^2 + \frac{142278179}{930388} e^4 + \frac{318425291}{1860776} e^6 \right. \\ &+ \frac{1256401651}{29772416} e^8 + \frac{64986219}{59544832} e^{10} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Which is an exact, closed-form expression!
- Nice touchstone for current work on 4PN

• Confirm and extend results for angular momentum flux at infinity

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{3/2} \bigg[\mathcal{J}_0 + y \mathcal{J}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{J}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{J}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{J}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{J}_3 + y^{7/2} \mathcal{J}_{7/2} \\ &+ y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_{4L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{9/2} + \mathcal{J}_{9/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_5 + \mathcal{J}_{5L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{11/2} + \mathcal{J}_{11/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{13/2} + \mathcal{J}_{13/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_7 + \mathcal{J}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

• Confirm and extend results for angular momentum flux at infinity

Peters, 1964

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{3/2} \bigg[\frac{\mathcal{J}_0 + y \mathcal{J}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{J}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{J}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{J}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{J}_3 + y^{7/2} \mathcal{J}_{7/2}}{+ y^4 \left(\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_{4L} \log(y) \right) + y^{9/2} \left(\mathcal{J}_{9/2} + \mathcal{J}_{9/2L} \log(y) \right)} \\ &+ y^5 \left(\mathcal{J}_5 + \mathcal{J}_{5L} \log(y) \right) + y^{11/2} \left(\mathcal{J}_{11/2} + \mathcal{J}_{11/2L} \log(y) \right) \\ &+ y^6 \left(\mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \right) \\ &+ y^{13/2} \left(\mathcal{J}_{13/2} + \mathcal{J}_{13/2L} \log(y) \right) \\ &+ y^7 \left(\mathcal{J}_7 + \mathcal{J}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \right) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

• Confirm and extend results for angular momentum flux at infinity

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Junker and Schäfer, 1992} \\ \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{3/2} \bigg[\mathcal{J}_0 + y \mathcal{J}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{J}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{J}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{J}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{J}_3 + y^{7/2} \mathcal{J}_{7/2} \\ &+ y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_{4L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{9/2} + \mathcal{J}_{9/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_5 + \mathcal{J}_{5L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{11/2} + \mathcal{J}_{11/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{13/2} + \mathcal{J}_{13/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_7 + \mathcal{J}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{aligned}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

• Confirm and extend results for angular momentum flux at infinity

Rieth and Schäfer, 1997

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{3/2} \bigg[\mathcal{J}_0 + y \mathcal{J}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{J}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{J}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{J}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{J}_3 + y^{7/2} \mathcal{J}_{7/2} \\ &+ y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_{4L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{9/2} + \mathcal{J}_{9/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_5 + \mathcal{J}_{5L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{11/2} + \mathcal{J}_{11/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{13/2} + \mathcal{J}_{13/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_7 + \mathcal{J}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

• Confirm and extend results for angular momentum flux at infinity

Gopakumar and Iyer, 1997

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{3/2} \bigg[\mathcal{J}_0 + y \mathcal{J}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{J}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{J}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{J}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{J}_3 + y^{7/2} \mathcal{J}_{7/2} \\ &+ y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_{4L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{9/2} + \mathcal{J}_{9/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_5 + \mathcal{J}_{5L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{11/2} + \mathcal{J}_{11/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{13/2} + \mathcal{J}_{13/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_7 + \mathcal{J}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

• Confirm and extend results for angular momentum flux at infinity

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{3/2} \bigg[\mathcal{J}_0 + y \mathcal{J}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{J}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{J}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{J}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{J}_3 + y^{7/2} \mathcal{J}_{7/2} \\ &+ y^4 \Big(\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_{4L} \log(y) \Big) + y^{9/2} \Big(\mathcal{J}_{9/2} + \mathcal{J}_{9/2L} \log(y) \Big) \\ &+ y^5 \Big(\mathcal{J}_5 + \mathcal{J}_{5L} \log(y) \Big) + y^{11/2} \Big(\mathcal{J}_{11/2} + \mathcal{J}_{11/2L} \log(y) \Big) \\ &+ y^6 \Big(\mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \Big) \\ &+ y^{13/2} \Big(\mathcal{J}_{13/2} + \mathcal{J}_{13/2L} \log(y) \Big) \\ &+ y^7 \Big(\mathcal{J}_7 + \mathcal{J}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \Big) + \cdots \bigg] \end{aligned}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

• Confirm and extend results for angular momentum flux at infinity

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{3/2} \bigg[\mathcal{J}_0 + y \mathcal{J}_1 + y^{3/2} \mathcal{J}_{3/2} + y^2 \mathcal{J}_2 + y^{5/2} \mathcal{J}_{5/2} + y^3 \mathcal{J}_3 + y^{7/2} \mathcal{J}_{7/2} \\ &+ y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_4 + \mathcal{J}_{4L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{9/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{9/2} + \mathcal{J}_{9/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_5 + \mathcal{J}_{5L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{11/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{11/2} + \mathcal{J}_{11/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_6 + \mathcal{J}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^{13/2} \bigg(\mathcal{J}_{13/2} + \mathcal{J}_{13/2L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{J}_7 + \mathcal{J}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{J}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

Forseth, CRE, Hopper, in prep (lowest order in mass ratio)

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Examples: 3.5PN term and 4PN log term



$$\mathcal{J}_{4L} = \frac{1}{(1-e^2)^6} \left(\frac{232597}{8820} + \frac{3482879e^2}{8820} + \frac{34971299e^4}{35280} + \frac{6578731e^6}{14112} + \frac{2503623e^8}{125440} \right)$$

Again, the 4PN log term has an exact, closed form

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

New: Energy and angular momentum flux at horizon

• Horizon energy flux (Poisson & Sasaki 1995, e = 0; Sago & Fujita 2015, \mathcal{B}_0 to e^6)

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{E} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \left(\frac{\mu}{M}\right)^2 y^9 \bigg[\mathcal{B}_0 + y \mathcal{B}_1 + y^2 \mathcal{B}_2 + y^3 \bigg(\mathcal{B}_3 + \mathcal{B}_{3L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{B}_4 + \mathcal{B}_{4L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{B}_5 + \mathcal{B}_{5L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{11/2} \mathcal{B}_{11/2} \\ &+ y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{B}_6 + \mathcal{B}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{B}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + y^{13/2} \mathcal{B}_{13/2} \\ &+ y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{B}_7 + \mathcal{B}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{B}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

 $\bullet\,$ Horizon angular momentum flux (Sago & Fujita 2015, \mathcal{D}_0 to e^6)

$$\begin{split} \langle \dot{J} \rangle &= \frac{32}{5} \frac{\mu^2}{M} y^{15/2} \bigg[\mathcal{D}_0 + y \mathcal{D}_1 + y^2 \mathcal{D}_2 + y^3 \bigg(\mathcal{D}_3 + \mathcal{D}_{3L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^4 \bigg(\mathcal{D}_4 + \mathcal{D}_{4L} \log(y) \bigg) \\ &+ y^5 \bigg(\mathcal{D}_5 + \mathcal{D}_{5L} \log(y) \bigg) + y^{11/2} \mathcal{D}_{11/2} \\ &+ y^6 \bigg(\mathcal{D}_6 + \mathcal{D}_{6L} \log(y) + \mathcal{D}_{6L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + y^{13/2} \mathcal{D}_{13/2} \\ &+ y^7 \bigg(\mathcal{D}_7 + \mathcal{D}_{7L} \log(y) + \mathcal{D}_{7L^2} \log^2(y) \bigg) + \cdots \bigg] \end{split}$$

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Sample terms: energy flux at horizon

• First three PN orders have closed forms

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{B}_{0} &= \frac{1}{(1-e^{2})^{15/2}} \left(1 + \frac{31}{2}e^{2} + \frac{255}{8}e^{4} + \frac{185}{16}e^{6} + \frac{25}{64}e^{8} \right), \\ \mathcal{B}_{1} &= \frac{1}{(1-e^{2})^{17/2}} \left(4 + \frac{147}{2}e^{2} + \frac{799}{8}e^{4} - \frac{2635}{16}e^{6} - \frac{13515}{128}e^{8} - \frac{275}{64}e^{10} \right), \\ \mathcal{B}_{2} &= \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{2}\right)^{19/2}} \left(-\frac{181}{14} + \frac{1336}{21}e^{2} + \frac{25097}{24}e^{4} + \frac{42743}{48}e^{6} + \frac{489245}{768}e^{8} + \frac{360197}{768}e^{10} + \frac{6025}{256}e^{12} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{2}\right)^{9}} \left(\frac{75}{2} + \frac{2175}{4}e^{2} + \frac{9825}{16}e^{4} - \frac{24375}{32}e^{6} - \frac{53625}{128}e^{8} - \frac{1875}{128}e^{10} \right), \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{B}_{4L} &= \frac{1}{\left(1-e^{2}\right)^{23/2}} \left(-\frac{9148}{105} - \frac{11348}{3}e^{2} - \frac{2650657}{105}e^{4} - \frac{412167e}{20}e^{6} + \frac{9681067}{160}e^{8} \\ &+ \frac{4810141}{80}e^{10} + \frac{1698271}{160}e^{12} + \frac{99085}{512}e^{14} \right), \end{split}$$

• As does the 4PN Log term

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

Sample terms: angular momentum flux at horizon

• Same for angular momentum: First three PN orders have closed forms

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}_0 &= \frac{1}{(1-e^2)^6} \left(1 + \frac{15}{2} e^2 + \frac{45}{8} e^4 + \frac{5}{16} e^6 \right), \\ \mathcal{D}_1 &= \frac{1}{(1-e^2)^7} \left(4 + 42e^2 + \frac{15}{4} e^4 - 40e^6 - \frac{195}{64} e^8 \right), \\ \mathcal{D}_2 &= \frac{1}{(1-e^2)^8} \left(-\frac{38}{7} + 197e^2 + \frac{7965}{16} e^4 + \frac{1175}{16} e^6 + \frac{37825}{256} e^8 + \frac{495}{32} e^{10} \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{(1-e^2)^{15/2}} \left(30 + 195e^2 - \frac{225}{4} e^4 - \frac{1275}{8} e^6 - \frac{75}{8} e^8 \right), \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{D}_{4L} &= \frac{1}{(1-e^2)^{10}} \left(-\frac{9148}{105} - \frac{18240}{7} e^2 - \frac{356711}{35} e^4 - \frac{3973}{5} e^6 + \frac{388523}{32} e^8 \\ &+ \frac{304913}{80} e^{10} + \frac{6415}{64} e^{12} \right), \end{split}$$

• As does the 4PN Log term again

E.Forseth, C.R.Evans, & S.Hopper

How far can we push finding exact coefficients?

- At 200 decimal places, finding mixtures of several transcendentals is hard
- May be able to do much better by factoring the tail terms (Damour and Nagar; Damour, Iyer and Nagar; Johnson-McDaniel)
- $\bullet\,$ Works for circular orbits on l,m mode basis
- May work for eccentric orbits on an l, m, n mode basis

Conclusions

Use BH perturbations to probe PN limit in eccentric orbits

- Combined MST with EHS and SSI in Mathematica
- Achieved >200 decimal places accuracy
- "See" ~ 10 PN orders

New understanding of 1.5, 2.5, and 3PN hereditary terms

- Determined e singular factors in y, e representation
- Confirmed with asymptotic analysis
- Found high order (e^2) expansions: $\varphi(e)$, $\psi(e)$, and $\chi(e)$
- Found new closed form, log singular part of $\chi(e)$

First application: $\dot{E}^{\infty}(e)$

- Confirmed known PN theory to 3PN
- Determined new $\dot{E}(e)$ terms at 3.5PN, 4PN, etc

Second application: $\dot{J}^{\infty}(e)$ Third: $\dot{E}^{H}(e)$ and $\dot{J}^{H}(e)$ down horizon